



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,608	10/16/2001	Thomas E. Tahan	5181-75800	5745
75	90 01/11/2006		EXAM	INER
B. Noell Kivlin		BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H		
Conley, Rose, &	t Tayon, P.C.			
P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78	3767		2143	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/981,608	TAHAN, THOMAS E.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Asghar Bilgrami	2143			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Oc</u>	ctober 2005.				
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-15,17-23,25-31 and 34</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-15,17-23,25-31 and 34</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	ion Papers	·				
		_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
IU)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not reserved.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (U.S. 5,265,221) and Belani et al (U.S. 6,772,350)

As per claims 1, 10, 18 & 26 Miller disclosed a computer system comprising: a Multi-community Node (MCN) comprises: a community information base (CIB), wherein said CIB (col.2, lines 42-47) includes: a user community ser (UCS) for each user of said MCN (col.2, lines 47-52); an application community set (ACS) for each application on said MCN; and an object set (OCS) for each object residing within said MCN (col.2, lines 52-62); a processing unit configured to receive a request for access to an object; consult said CIB responsive to said request; permit access to said object in response to detecting said request is from a user; and a UCS of said user is a superset of an object community set (OCS) of said object; permit access to said object in response to detecting: said request is from a process; and an ACS said process is a superset of said OCS (col.5, lines 39-62). However Miller did not explicitly disclose a computer network coupled to the Multi-community node (MCN).

Art Unit: 2143

In the same field of endeavor Belani disclosed <u>a computer network</u>; and a multi-community node (MCN) <u>coupled to said computer network</u> (col.4, lines 34-38).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a connection to computer network as disclosed by Belani to a computer system of Miller in order to make the computer system more versatile and scalable by having multiple clients/users connect to the system from various locations.

- 3. As per claims 2, 11, 19 & 27 Miller-Belani disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said object is an operating system controlled resource (Miller, col.1, lines 30-34 & col.2, lines 23-27).
- 4. As per claims 3, 12, 20 & 28 Miller-Belani disclosed the method of claim 2, wherein said object is selected from the group consisting of a file system, a storage volume, a directory, a file, a record, a memory region, a queue, a pipe, a socket, a port, or an input/output device (col.1, lines 30-34.
- 5. As per claims 4, 13, 21 & 29 Miller-Belani disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein the initial owner of said object is the creator of said object (Miller, col.5, lines 39-62).
- 6. As per claims 5, 14, 22 & 30 Miller-Belani disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising permitting an owner of said object to designate a first user as a new owner of said object, in response to detecting a UCS of said first user is a superset of said OCS (Miller, col.5, lines 39-62).

Art Unit: 2143

7. As per claims 6, 15, 23 & 31 Miller-Belani disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising allowing a first process to change said OCS of said object to a subset of said ACS of

said first process, in response to detecting an owner of said first process is an owner of said

object and said ACS is a superset of said OCS (Miller, col.5, lines 39-62).

8. As per claims 9, 17, 25 & 34 Miller-Belani disclosed the method of claim 8, wherein said CIB further includes a creator and a current owner for each object residing within said MCN (Miller, col.5, lines 39-62).

## Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. Applicant argued that the prior art does not teach the limitation amended in the current independent claims.
- 11. As to applicants's arguments in the specifications (page 26) CIB contains association information between objects, users & processes. Miller describes this in col.2, lines 43-62). In the claims "permit access to said object in response to detecting: said request is from a process; and an ACS said process is a superset of said OCS", basically describes that when a users wants to access a file, the system checks what kind of permissions does a user have in association with

Art Unit: 2143

the file i.e. if the user is owner/creator of the file or has read/write permissions. Miller disclosed it in (col.5, lines 39-62). Please see office action above.

#### Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

AB

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR PRIMARY EXAMINER